arXiv:1303.2299v2 [math.DS] 2 May 2013

On an entropy of $\mathbb{Z}_+^k$-actions

Yujun Zhu and Wenda Zhang

College of Mathematics and Information Science, and

Hebei Key Laboratory of Computational Mathematics and Applications

Hebei Normal University, Shijiazhuang, 050024, P.R.China

Abstract: In this paper, a definition of entropy for $\mathbb{Z}_+^k(k \geq 2)$-actions due to S. Friedland [4] is studied. Unlike the traditional definition, it may take a nonzero value for actions whose generators have finite (even zero) entropy as single transformations. Some basic properties are investigated and its value for the $\mathbb{Z}_+^k$-actions on circles generated by expanding endomorphisms is given. Moreover, an upper bound of this entropy for the $\mathbb{Z}_+^k$-actions on tori generated by expanding endomorphisms is obtained via the preimage entropies, which are entropy-like invariants depending on the "inverse orbits" structure of the system.

1 Introduction

Based on the need in the study of lattice statistical mechanics, Ruelle [13] introduced the concept of entropy for $\mathbb{Z}^k(k \geq 2)$-actions. A necessary condition for this entropy to be positive is that the generators should have infinite entropy as single transformations. In [4], Friedland gave a new definition of entropy for $\mathbb{Z}^k$-actions (or, more generally, $\mathbb{Z}_+^k$-actions, here $\mathbb{Z}_+ = \{0, 1, 2, \cdots\}$) which is appropriate for that whose generators have finite entropy as single transformations.

We begin by recalling the definition of topological entropy for $\mathbb{Z}_+$-actions. Let (X, d_X) be a compact metric space and $C^0(X, X)$ the set of continuous maps on X. Any $f \in C^0(X, X)$ naturally generates a $\mathbb{Z}_+$-action: $\mathbb{Z}_+ \longrightarrow C^0(X, X), n \longmapsto f^n$. Let K be a compact subset of X. For any $\varepsilon > 0$, a subset $E \subset X$ is said to be an (f, n, ε)-spanning set of K, if for any $x \in K$, there exists $y \in E$ such that

$$\max_{0 \leq i \leq n-1} d_X(f^i(x), f^i(y)) \leq \varepsilon.$$

Let $r_{d_X}(f, n, \varepsilon, K)$ denote the smallest cardinality of any (f, n, ε)-spanning set of K. A subset $F \subset K$ is said to be an (f, n, ε)-separated set of K, if $x, y \in F, x \neq y$, implies

$$\max_{0 \leq i \leq n-1} d_X(f^i(x), f^i(y)) > \varepsilon.$$

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 37A35, 37B10, 37B40.

Keywords and phrases: entropy; preimage entropy; $\mathbb{Z}_+^k$-action.

It is supported by NSFC(No:11071054), the Key Project of Chinese Ministry of Education(No:211020), NCET(No:11-0935) and the SRF for ROCS, SEM.

Let $s_{d_X}(f,n,\varepsilon,K)$ denote the largest cardinality of any (f,n,ε)-separated set of K. Let

$$h(f,K)=\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\limsup_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n}\log\ s_{d_X}(f,n,\varepsilon,K).$$

By a standard discussion, we can give $h(f,K)$ using spanning set, i.e., we can replace $s_{d_X}(f,n,\varepsilon,K)$ by $r_{d_X}(f,n,\varepsilon,K)$ in the above equation. The *topological entropy* of f is defined by $h(f)=h(f,X)$.

Now we recall the traditional definition and Friedland's definition of entropy for $\mathbb{Z}_+^k$-actions. Let (X,d_X) be a compact metric space and $T:\mathbb{Z}_+^k\longrightarrow C^0(X,X)$ a continuous $\mathbb{Z}_+^k$-action on X. Denote the cube $\prod_{i=1}^{k}\{0,\cdots,n-1\}\subset\mathbb{Z}_+^k$ by Q_n. A set $E\subset X$ is (T,n,ε)-spanning if for every $x\in X$ there exists a $y\in E$ with $d_X\big(T^{\mathbf{i}}(x),T^{\mathbf{i}}(y)\big)\le\varepsilon$ for all $\mathbf{i}\in Q_n$. Let $r_{d_X}(T,n,\varepsilon,X)$ be the smallest cardinality of any (T,n,ε)-spanning set. A set $F\subset X$ is an (T,n,ε)-separated set if for any $x,y\in F$, $x\neq y$ implies $d_X\big(T^{\mathbf{i}}(x),T^{\mathbf{i}}(y)\big)>\varepsilon$ for some $\mathbf{i}\in Q_n$. Let $s_{d_X}(T,n,\varepsilon,X)$ be the largest cardinality of any (T,n,ε)-separated set. The traditional definition of $\tilde{h}(T)$ is given by

$$\tilde{h}(T)=\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\limsup_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n^k}\log\ s_{d_X}(T,n,\varepsilon,X). \tag{1.1}$$

By a standard discussion, we can give $\tilde{h}(T)$ using spanning set, i.e., we can replace $s_{d_X}(T,n,\varepsilon,X)$ by $r_{d_X}(T,n,\varepsilon,X)$ in (1.1). For the general theory of entropy of $\mathbb{Z}^k$-actions, see Schmidt's comprehensive book [14], and for the more general theory of entropy for countable amenable group actions, see for example [11] and [6].

It is well known that a necessary condition for $\tilde{h}(T)$ to be positive is that the generators $\{T_i:=T(0,\cdots,0,1_{(i)},0,\cdots,0)\}_{i=1}^k$ should have infinite entropy as single transformations. In contrast to the traditional definition, Friedland [4] introduced another definition of the topological entropy as follows. Define the *orbit space* of T by

$$X_T=\big\{\bar{x}=\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}_+}\in\prod_{n\in\mathbb{Z}_+}X:\ \text{for any } n\in\mathbb{Z}_+, T_{i_n}(x_n)=x_{n+1}\text{ for some } T_{i_n}\in\{T_i\}_{i=1}^k\big\}.$$

This is a closed subset of the compact space $\prod_{n\in\mathbb{Z}_+}X$ and so is again compact. A natural metric on X_T is defined by

$$d_{X_T}\big(\bar{x},\bar{y}\big)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{d_X(x_n,y_n)}{2^n} \tag{1.2}$$

for $\bar{x}=\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}_+},\bar{y}=\{y_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}_+}\in X_T$. We can define a natural shift map $\sigma_T:X_T\to X_T$ by $\sigma_T(\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}_+})=\{x_{n+1}\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}_+}$. Thus we have associated in a natural way a $\mathbb{Z}_+$-action with the $\mathbb{Z}_+^k$-action.

Definition 1.1. *We define the* topological entropy $h(T)$ *of the $\mathbb{Z}_+^k$-action T to be the topological entropy of the map $\sigma_T:X_T\to X_T$, i.e.,*

$$h(T)=h(\sigma_T)=\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\limsup_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n}\log\ s_{d_{X_T}}(\sigma_T,n,\varepsilon,X_T),$$

where $s_{d_{X_T}}(\sigma_T,n,\varepsilon,X_T)$ is the largest cardinality of any (σ_T,n,ε)-separated set in X_T. (Similarly, we can replace $s_{d_{X_T}}(\sigma_T,n,\varepsilon,X_T)$ by $r_{d_{X_T}}(\sigma_T,n,\varepsilon,X_T)$, the smallest cardinality of any (σ_T,n,ε)-spanning set in X_T.)

The main purpose of this paper is to investigate some fundamental properties of the entropy $h(T)$ of $\mathbb{Z}_+^k$-actions and evaluate its values for some standard examples.

In section 2, some basic properties of $h(T)$ are given. It is well known that for any map $f : X \to X$, the power rule for its entropy holds, i.e., $h(f^m) = mh(f)$ for any positive integer m. However, we can only get $h(T^m) \leq mh(T)$ for any $\mathbb{Z}_+^k$-action T (Proposition 2.2). We also show in Proposition 2.2 that the entropy of any subgroup action (especially, each generator) of T is less than or equal to that of T. When each generator T_i is Lipschitzian with Lipschitz constant $L(T_i)$, then we can get an upper bound of $h(T)$ by $\log \sum_{i=1}^{k} L_+(T_i)^{D(x)}$, where $L_+(T_i) = \max\{1, L(T_i)\}$ and $D(x)$ is the ball dimension of X (Proposition 2.4). The entropy of a skew product transformation which is an extension of (X_T, σ_T) is also considered (Proposition 2.5).

In Section 3, we use Gellar and Pollicott's method [5] to show (in Theorem 3.1) that for the $\mathbb{Z}_+^k$-action T on the unit circle $X = \mathbb{S}^1$ generated by pairwise different endomorphisms $T_i(x) = L_i x (\mathrm{mod}\ 1)$, where $L_i, 1 \leq i \leq k$ are all positive integers greater than 1,

$$h(T) = \log \sum_{i=1}^{k} L_i. \tag{1.3}$$

In Section 4, we use other entropy-like invariants, the so called preimage entropies which rely on the preimage structure of the system, to show (in Theorem 4.1) that for the $\mathbb{Z}_+^k$-action T on the torus $\mathbb{T}^n$ generated by pairwise different matrices $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^k$ whose eigenvalues $\{\lambda_i^{(1)}, \cdots, \lambda_i^{(n)}\}_{i=1}^k$ are of modulus greater than 1,

$$h(T) \leq \log\Big(\sum_{i=1}^{k} \prod_{j=1}^{n} |\lambda_i^{(j)}|\Big). \tag{1.4}$$

2 Some basic properties of $h(T)$

Throughout this section we always assume that $T : \mathbb{Z}_+^k \longrightarrow C^0(X, X)$ is a continuous $\mathbb{Z}_+^k$-action with the generators $\{T_i : 1 \leq i \leq k\}$.

It is well known that topological entropy of a map (i.e., a $\mathbb{Z}_+$-action) is invariant under conjugacy. Now we can show that for any $\mathbb{Z}_+^k$-action a similar property holds true. We call another $\mathbb{Z}_+^k$-action T' is *topologically conjugate to* T, if their generators $\{T_i : 1 \leq i \leq k\}$ and $\{T_i' : 1 \leq i \leq k\}$ are pairwise conjugate under the same homeomorphism h, i.e. we have the following commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{T_i} & X \\ {\scriptstyle h}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle h} \\ X & \xrightarrow{T_i'} & X \end{array}$$

for each i. Since we can express T_i' by hT_ih^{-1}, by the definition of the entropy we can get the following property immediately.

Proposition 2.1. *Let T and T' be two conjugate $\mathbb{Z}_+^k$-actions with generators $\{T_i : 1 \leq i \leq k\}$ and $\{T_i' : 1 \leq i \leq k\}$ respectively, then*

$$h(T) = h(T').$$

The following proposition concerns the relation between the entropy of the power T^m and that of T, and the relation between the entropy of the subgroup action $T^{(l)}$ and that of T.

Proposition 2.2. *Let $T : \mathbb{Z}_+^k \longrightarrow C^0(X, X)$ be a continuous $\mathbb{Z}_+^k$-action with the generators $\{T_i : 1 \leq i \leq k\}$. We have the following properties of the entropy $h(T)$.*

(1) For $m > 1$, we have $h(T^m) \le mh(T)$, where T^m is the $\mathbb{Z}_+^k$-action with the generators $\{T_i^m : 1 \le i \le k\}$.

(2) For any $1 \le l < k$ and any $\mathbb{Z}_+^l$-action $T^{(l)}$ generated by some subcollection $\{T_{i_1}, \cdots, T_{i_l}\} \subset \{T_1, \cdots, T_k\}$, we have

$$h(T^{(l)}) \le h(T).$$

In particular, $h(T_i) \le h(T)$ for any $1 \le i \le k$.

Proof. (1) Let $\tilde{X} = \big\{\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}_+} \in \prod_{n\in\mathbb{Z}_+} X \ : \ \text{for any } j \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \text{there exists some } 1 \le i \le k, \text{ such that for all } 0 \le s \le m, x_{jm+s} = T_i^s(x_{jm})\big\}$. It is obvious that $\tilde{X} \subset X_T$. Define $\pi : \tilde{X} \to X_{T^m}$ by $\pi\big(\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}_+}\big) = \{x_{nm}\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}_+}$. It is easy to obtain that π is continuous and

$$\sigma_{T^m} \circ \pi\big(\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}_+}\big) = \pi \circ \sigma_T^m\big(\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}_+}\big)$$

for any $\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}_+} \in \tilde{X}$. Therefore,

$$h(\sigma_{T^m}) \le h(\sigma_T^m|_{\tilde{X}}) \le h(\sigma_T^m) = mh(\sigma_T),$$

in which the last equality is from the well known power rule for topological entropy (see [15], for example).

(2) For the $\mathbb{Z}_+^l$-action $T^{(l)}$ generated by some $\{T_{i_1}, \cdots, T_{i_l}\}$, denote

$$X_{T^{(l)}} = \big\{\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}_+} \in \prod_{n\in\mathbb{Z}_+} X \ : \ x_{n+1} = T_{i_j}(x_n) \text{ for some } 1 \le j \le l\big\}.$$

It is obvious that

$$h(T^{(l)}) = h(\sigma_{T^{(l)}}) = h(\sigma_T|_{X_{T^{(l)}}}) \le h(\sigma_T) = h(T).$$

□

Remark 2.3. *For (1) of Proposition 2.2, either of the equality and strictly inequality in "$h(T^m) \le mh(T)$" can possibly hold. For example, for the $\mathbb{Z}_+^k$-action T in Theorem 3.1, $h(T^m) = mh(T)$; for the $\mathbb{Z}_+^k$-action T on the unit circle $\mathbb{S}^1$ whose generators $\{T_i : 1 \le i \le k\}$ are pairwise different rotations, by Theorem 4 of [5] we have $h(T^m) = h(T) = \log k < mh(T)$.*

From (2) of Proposition 2.2, for any $\mathbb{Z}_+^k$-action T with generators $\{T_i : 1 \le i \le k\}$, the entropy of each generator is less than or equal to that of T, i.e., $h(T_i) \le h(T), 1 \le i \le k$. In general, $h(T_i)$ is strict less than $h(T)$, even for the actions with some trivial generators. For example, for the above $\mathbb{Z}_+^k$-action T on the unit circle $\mathbb{S}^1$ whose generators are pairwise different rotations, it is obvious that $h(T_i) = 0$ for each i, but $h(T) = \log k$.

However, for any $\mathbb{Z}_+^k$-action T with positive traditional entropy, i.e., $\tilde{h}(T) > 0$, such as the full k-dimensional m-shift transformation T on the space

$$X = \{0, \cdots, m-1\}^{\mathbb{Z}_+^k} = \big\{\{x_{(i_1,\cdots,i_k)}\}_{(i_1,\cdots,i_k)\in\mathbb{Z}_+^k} \in \prod_{(i_1,\cdots,i_k)\in\mathbb{Z}_+^k} \{0, 1, \cdots, m-1\}\big\}$$

generated by

$$T_j : \{x_{(i_1,\cdots,i_k)}\} \mapsto \{x_{(i_1,\cdots,i_j+1,\cdots,i_k)}\}, \ \ 1 \le j \le k,$$

we have $h(T) = h(T_i) = \infty$ for any $1 \le i \le k$.

Let (X,d) be a compact metric space and $b(\varepsilon)$ the minimum cardinality of covering of X by ε-balls. Then

$$D(X)=\limsup_{\varepsilon\to 0}\frac{\log b(\varepsilon)}{|\log\varepsilon|}\in\mathbb{R}\cup\{\infty\}$$

is called the *ball dimension* of X. It is well known that if a map $f:X\longrightarrow X$ is Lipschitzian with Lipschitz constant $L(f)$, then

$$h(f)\le D(X)\log(\max\{1,L(f)\}),$$

see Theorem 3.2.9 of [8] for example. In the following, we give the corresponding inequalities for $\mathbb{Z}_+^k$-actions.

Proposition 2.4. *Let $T:\mathbb{Z}_+^k\longrightarrow C^0(X,X)$ be a continuous $\mathbb{Z}_+^k$-action with the generators $\{T_i:1\le i\le k\}$. If the ball dimension of X is finite, i.e., $D(X)<\infty$, and each $T_i:X\to X$ is Lipschitzian with Lipschitz constant $L(T_i)$, then*

$$h(T)\le\log\sum_{i=1}^{k}L_+(T_i)^{D(X)},$$

where $L_+(T_i)=\max\{1,L(T_i)\}$.

In particular, if X is an m-dimensional compact Riemannian manifold and each $T_i,1\le i\le k$, is differentiable, then

$$h(T)\le\log\sum_{i=1}^{k}\max\{1,\sup_{x\in X}\|d_xT_i\|^m\}.$$

Proof. It is well known that the topological entropy is unchanged by taking uniformly equivalent metrics (Theorem 7.4 of [15]). Here we say two metrics d_X and d'_X on X are uniformly equivalent if

$$id:(X,d_X)\longrightarrow(X,d'_X)\ \text{ and }\ id:(X,d'_X)\longrightarrow(X,d_X)$$

are both uniformly continuous.

Take $\rho>\max\limits_{1\le i\le k}L_+(T_i)$. Clearly, $\rho>1$. Now we define two metrics $\tilde{d}_{X_T}$ and d'_{X_T} on X_T by

$$\tilde{d}_{X_T}(\bar{x},\bar{y})=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{d_X(x_n,y_n)}{\rho^n}\ \text{ and }\ d'_{X_T}(\bar{x},\bar{y})=\sup_{n\ge 0}\frac{d_X(x_n,y_n)}{\rho^n}\tag{2.1}$$

for any $\bar{x}=\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}_+},\bar{y}=\{y_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}_+}$. Since $\rho>1$, the metrics $\tilde{d}_{X_T}$ and d'_{X_T} are both uniformly equivalent to d_{X_T} which is defined in (1.2).

In the following we will estimate the entropy $h(T)$ with respect to the metric d'_{X_T}. For any $\varepsilon>0$, consider a maximal (σ_T,m,ε)-separated set E of X_T with cardinality $s_{d'_{X_T}}(\sigma_T,m,\varepsilon,X_T)$. Obviously, for any $\bar{x}=\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}_+},\bar{y}=\{y_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}_+}\in E$ with $\bar{x}\ne\bar{y}$, we have

$$\max_{0\le s\le m-1}\sup_{n\ge s}\frac{d_X(x_n,y_n)}{\rho^{n-s}}>\varepsilon.$$

Let $K(\varepsilon)=\left\lceil\log_\rho\frac{\mathrm{diam}(X,d_X)}{\varepsilon}\right\rceil$. In order to estimate the cardinality of E, we will write it into the union of subsets which consists of the points in E with the first $m+K(\varepsilon)$ elements lie in the same orbit space of some sequence of $(T_{i_1},T_{i_2},\cdots,T_{i_{m+K(\varepsilon)-1}})$. From the definition of d'_{X_T} and the choice of $K(\varepsilon)$, we can estimate the cardinality of each of these subsets easily.

For any $(i_1,\cdots,i_{m+K(\varepsilon)})\in\prod_{n=1}^{m+K(\varepsilon)}\{1,\cdots,k\}$, denote

$$\tilde{X}_{(i_1,\cdots,i_{m+K(\varepsilon)})}=\left\{\bar{x}=\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}_+}\ :\ x_n=T_{i_n}(x_{n-1})\text{ for }1\le n\le m+K(\varepsilon)-1\right\}$$

and

$$\tilde{E}_{(i_1,\cdots,i_{m+K(\varepsilon)})}=E\cap\tilde{X}_{(i_1,\cdots,i_{m+K(\varepsilon)})}.$$

Clearly,

$$X_T=\bigcup_{(i_1,\cdots,i_{m+K(\varepsilon)})\in\prod_{n=1}^{m+K(\varepsilon)}\{1,\cdots,k\}}\tilde{X}_{(i_1,\cdots,i_{m+K(\varepsilon)})}$$

and

$$E=\bigcup_{(i_1,\cdots,i_{m+K(\varepsilon)})\in\prod_{n=1}^{m+K(\varepsilon)}\{1,\cdots,k\}}\tilde{E}_{(i_1,\cdots,i_{m+K(\varepsilon)})}.$$

(Note that, each of them may be not a disjoint union.) Moreover, by the choice of ρ and $K(\varepsilon)$, we can see that for any $\overline{x}=\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}_+},\overline{y}=\{y_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}_+}\in\tilde{E}_{(i_1,\cdots,i_{m+K(\varepsilon)})}$, if $x_n=y_n$ for any $0\le n\le m+K(\varepsilon)-1$ then $\overline{x}=\overline{y}$. Therefore, if we denote the projection from $\prod_{n\in\mathbb{Z}_+}X$ to its factor $\prod_{n=0}^{m+K(\varepsilon)-1}X$ by $\mathrm{Proj}_{m+K(\varepsilon)}$ and let

$$E_{(i_1,\cdots,i_{m+K(\varepsilon)})}=\mathrm{Proj}_{m+K(\varepsilon)}(\tilde{E}_{(i_1,\cdots,i_{m+K(\varepsilon)})}),$$

then

$$\mathrm{card}(E_{(i_1,\cdots,i_{m+K(\varepsilon)})})=\mathrm{card}(\tilde{E}_{(i_1,\cdots,i_{m+K(\varepsilon)})}).$$

Define a metric $d_{(i_1,\cdots,i_{m+K(\varepsilon)})}$ on $X_{(i_1,\cdots,i_{m+K(\varepsilon)})}:=\mathrm{Proj}_{m+K(\varepsilon)}(\tilde{X}_{(i_1,\cdots,i_{m+K(\varepsilon)})})$ by

$$d_{(i_1,\cdots,i_{m+K(\varepsilon)})}(\bar{z},\bar{z}')=\max_{0\le s\le m+K(\varepsilon)-1}\frac{d_X(z_s,z_s')}{\rho^s}$$

for any $\bar{z}=\{z_s\}_{s=0}^{m+K(\varepsilon)-1}$ and $\bar{z}'=\{z_s'\}_{s=0}^{m+K(\varepsilon)-1}\in X_{(i_1,\cdots,i_{m+K(\varepsilon)})}$. From the choice of ρ, we can see that $(X_{(i_1,\cdots,i_{m+K(\varepsilon)})},d_{(i_1,\cdots,i_{m+K(\varepsilon)})})$ is isometric to (X,d_X). That is,

$$d_{(i_1,\cdots,i_{m+K(\varepsilon)})}(\bar{z},\bar{z}')=d_X(z_0,z_0').$$

Then the ball dimension of $(X_{(i_1,\cdots,i_{m+K(\varepsilon)})},d_{(i_1,\cdots,i_{m+K(\varepsilon)})})$ is equal to $D(X)$.

Let $\varepsilon_{(i_1,\cdots,i_{m+K(\varepsilon)})}=\dfrac{\varepsilon}{3\prod_{n=1}^{m+K(\varepsilon)-1}L_+(T_{i_n})}$. Then for any $\bar{z},\bar{z}'\in E_{(i_1,\cdots,i_{m+K(\varepsilon)})}$ with $\bar{z}\ne\bar{z}'$, we have

$$B_{d_{(i_1,\cdots,i_{m+K(\varepsilon)})}}(\bar{z},\varepsilon_{(i_1,\cdots,i_{m+K(\varepsilon)})})\cap B_{d_{(i_1,\cdots,i_{m+K(\varepsilon)})}}(\bar{z}',\varepsilon_{(i_1,\cdots,i_{m+K(\varepsilon)})})=\emptyset.$$

Therefore,

$$\mathrm{card}(E_{(i_1,\cdots,i_{m+K(\varepsilon)})})\le\frac{\alpha}{(\varepsilon_{(i_1,\cdots,i_{m+K(\varepsilon)})})^{D(X)}},$$

where α is a constant independent of $(i_1, \cdots, i_{m+K(\varepsilon)})$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} s_{d'_{X_T}}(\sigma_T, m, \varepsilon, X_T) &= \mathrm{card}(E) \\ &\leq \sum_{(i_1,\cdots,i_{m+K(\varepsilon)}) \in \prod_{n=1}^{m+K(\varepsilon)} \{1,\cdots,k\}} \mathrm{card}(E_{(i_1,\cdots,i_{m+K(\varepsilon)})}) \\ &\leq \sum_{(i_1,\cdots,i_{m+K(\varepsilon)}) \in \prod_{n=1}^{m+K(\varepsilon)} \{1,\cdots,k\}} \frac{\alpha \cdot 3^{D(X)}}{\varepsilon^{D(X)}} \cdot \prod_{n=1}^{m+K(\varepsilon)-1} L_+(T_{i_n})^{D(X)} \\ &= \frac{\alpha \cdot 3^{D(X)}}{\varepsilon^{D(X)}} \cdot \Big(\sum_{i=1}^{k} L_+(T_i)^{D(X)} \Big)^{m+K(\varepsilon)-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$h(T) = \lim_{\varepsilon \longrightarrow 0} \limsup_{m\to\infty} \frac{1}{m} \log s_{d'_{X_T}}(\sigma_T, m, \varepsilon, X_T) \leq \log \sum_{i=1}^{k} L_+(T_i)^{D(X)}.$$

□

In the following, we will consider a skew product transformation such that (σ_T, X_T) is its factor, and we will use it to evaluate the entropy of $\mathbb{Z}_+^k$-action on circles in the next section.

Let $\Sigma_k = \prod_{n\in\mathbb{Z}_+} \{1, \cdots, k\}$ be the standard symbolic space with the product topology. A natural metric d_{Σ_k} on Σ_k is defined by

$$d_{\Sigma_k}\big(\{i_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}_+}, \{j_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}_+}\big) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{d(i_n, j_n)}{2^n}$$

for $\{i_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}_+}, \{j_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}_+} \in \Sigma_k$, where $d(i_n, j_n) = 0$ when $i_n = j_n$, and $d(i_n, j_n) = 1$ when $i_n \neq j_n$. Let $Y = \Sigma_k \times X$ be endowed with the product topology and define a map $\tilde{\sigma} : Y \to Y$ by

$$\tilde{\sigma}\big(\{i_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}_+}, x\big) = \big(\{i_{n+1}\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}_+}, T_{i_0}x\big).$$

This is a skew product over the shift transformation

$$\sigma_k : \Sigma_k \to \Sigma_k, \quad \{i_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}_+} \mapsto \{i_{n+1}\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}_+}.$$

The basis transformation σ_k is a natural factor of this skew product, hence by Bowen's entropy inequality in [1], we have that

$$h(\tilde{\sigma}) \leq h(\sigma_k) + \sup_{\{i_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}_+} \in \sum_k} h(\tilde{\sigma}, Y_{\{i_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}_+}}), \tag{2.2}$$

where $Y_{\{i_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}_+}} = \big\{(\{i_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}_+}, x) \in Y : x \in X\big\}$. Clearly, $h(\sigma_k) = \log k$. Moreover, if the ball dimension of X is finite, i.e., $D(X) < \infty$, and each $T_i : X \to X$ is Lipschitzian with Lipschitz constant $L(T_i)$, then from the proof of Proposition 2.4 we have that

$$h(\tilde{\sigma}, Y_{\{i_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}_+}}) \leq \limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \prod_{j=1}^{n} L_+(T_{i_j})^{D(X)} \leq D(X) \log L,$$

in which $L = \max_{1\leq i\leq k} L_+(T_i)$, and hence

$$h(\tilde{\sigma}) \leq \log k + D(X) \log L. \tag{2.3}$$

In the following we can see that σ_T is another factor of $\tilde{\sigma}$.

Proposition 2.5. *Let $T : \mathbb{Z}_+^k \longrightarrow C^0(X, X)$ be a continuous $\mathbb{Z}_+^k$-action with the generators $\{T_i : 1 \leq i \leq k\}$ and $\tilde{\sigma} : Y \to Y$ be as above. Then $\tilde{\sigma}$ is an extension of σ_T, and hence*

$$h(T) = h(\sigma_T) \leq h(\tilde{\sigma}).$$

Proof. Define a map $\pi : Y \to X_T$ by

$$\tilde{\pi}\big((\{i_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}_+}, x)\big) = \{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}_+},$$

where $x_0 = x$ and $x_n = T_{i_{n-1}} \circ \cdots \circ T_{i_1} \circ T_{i_0}(x)$ for $n \geq 1$. We claim that it is a semi-conjugacy between $\tilde{\sigma}$ and σ_T. In fact, we firstly have that $\pi \circ \tilde{\sigma} = \sigma_T \circ \pi$ from the definitions of $\pi, \tilde{\sigma}$ and σ_T. Secondly, π is surjective since for any point $\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}_+} \in X_T$ we can construct $\big(\{i_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}_+}, x\big) \in Y$ by setting $x = x_0$ and choosing i_n for $n \geq 0$ inductively such that $i_n = j$ if $x_{n+1} = T_j(x_n)$ for some $1 \leq j \leq k$ (Please note that the choice of i_n may not be unique). Finally, π is continuous since for any sequence of points $\{\overline{y}^{(i)} = \big(\{i_n^{(i)}\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}_+}, x^{(i)}\big)\}_{i\in\mathbb{Z}_+}$ tends to $\overline{y} = \big(\{i_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}_+}, x\big)$ as $i \longrightarrow \infty$, we have $\{i_n^{(i)}\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}_+} \longrightarrow \{i_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}_+}$ and $x^{(i)} \longrightarrow x$ as $i \longrightarrow \infty$, and hence from the definition of π, the uniform continuity of $T_i, 1 \leq i \leq k$, and the topologies of Y and X_T, $\pi(\overline{y}^{(i)}) \longrightarrow \pi(\overline{y})$ as $i \longrightarrow \infty$. Therefore, σ_T is a factor of $\tilde{\sigma}$, and hence $h(T) = h(\sigma_T) \leq h(\tilde{\sigma})$. □

3 $\mathbb{Z}_+^k$-actions on circles generated by expanding endomophisms

Let $\mathbb{S}^1 = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ be the unit circle with the "geodesic" metric $d_{\mathbb{S}^1}$, i.e., for any $x, y \in \mathbb{S}^1$, $d_{\mathbb{S}^1}(x, y)$ is the length of the shorter path joining them.

As one of the simplest system $f : \mathbb{S}^1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{S}^1, x \longmapsto px(\mathrm{mod}\ 1)$, its entropy $h(f) = \log p$. However, for $\mathbb{Z}_+^k$-action on circles which is generated by this kind of endomorphisms, its entropy is not easy to be calculated. In [4], Friedland conjectured that for a $\mathbb{Z}_+^2$-action on the circle $\mathbb{S}^1$ whose generators are $T_1 = px(\mathrm{mod}\ 1)$ and $T_2 = qx(\mathrm{mod}\ 1)$, where p and q are two co-prime integers, its entropy $h(T) = \log(p + q)$. Soon afterwards Geller and Pollicott [5] answered this conjecture affirmatively under a weaker condition "p, q are all integers greater than 1". In this section we generalize the main result in [5] to $\mathbb{Z}_+^k$-action on circles.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $T : \mathbb{Z}_+^k \longrightarrow C^0(\mathbb{S}^1, \mathbb{S}^1)$ be a continuous $\mathbb{Z}_+^k$-action on the circle $X = \mathbb{S}^1$ with the generators $T_i (1 \leq i \leq k)$ defined by $T_i(x) = L_i x(\mathrm{mod}\ 1)$ where $L_i, 1 \leq i \leq k$, are all integers greater than 1 and are pairwise different. Then the formula (1.3) holds, i.e.,*

$$h(T) = \log \sum_{i=1}^{k} L_i.$$

Proof. As we have done in Proposition 2.5, denote $Y = \Sigma_k \times X$ endowed with the product topology and define a map $\tilde{\sigma} : Y \to Y$ by

$$\tilde{\sigma}\big(\{i_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}_+}, x\big) = \big(\{i_{n+1}\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}_+}, T_{i_0} x\big).$$

This is a skew product over the shift transformation

$$\sigma_k : \Sigma_k \to \Sigma_k, \quad \{i_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}_+} \mapsto \{i_{n+1}\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}_+}.$$

Consider a cover of $\Sigma_k \times \mathbb{S}^1$ consisting of the closed sets

$$\Big\{[i]_0 \times \Big[\frac{j}{M}, \frac{j+1}{M}\Big] \ : \ 1 \le i \le k,\ 0 \le j \le M-1\Big\},$$

where $[i]_0 = \big\{\{i_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}_+} \in \Sigma_k \ : \ i_0 = i\big\}$ and $M = \prod_{i=1}^{k} L_i$. It is clear that this cover consists of kM elements. We label them by $\{B_l\}_{l=1}^{kM}$, where

$$B_l = [i]_0 \times \Big[\frac{j}{M}, \frac{j+1}{M}\Big]$$

for $l = (i-1)M + j + 1, 1 \le i \le k, 0 \le j \le M-1$. Now we can define a $kM \times kM$ transition matrix A by

$$A(s,t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \tilde{\sigma}(\text{int}B_s) \supset \text{int}B_t \\ 0 & \text{if } \tilde{\sigma}(\text{int}B_s) \cap \text{int}B_t = \emptyset. \end{cases}$$

This will take the form

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} Q_1 \\ \vdots \\ Q_k \end{pmatrix},$$

where $Q_s (1 \le s \le k)$ is an $M \times kM$ matrix with the form

$$Q_s = \begin{pmatrix} P_s \cdots P_s \\ \vdots \quad \vdots \\ P_s \cdots P_s \end{pmatrix},$$

in which P_s is a $\prod_{j\neq s}^{k} L_j \times M$ matrix given by

$$P_s = \begin{pmatrix} 1\cdots 1 & 0\cdots 0 \cdots 0\cdots 0 \\ 0\cdots 0 & 1\cdots 1\cdots 0\cdots 0 \\ \cdots & \cdots \quad \cdots \quad \cdots \\ \underbrace{0\cdots 0}_{\times L_s} & \underbrace{0\cdots 0}_{\times L_s} \cdots \underbrace{1\cdots 1}_{\times L_s} \end{pmatrix}.$$

By calculating, we can get that A is irreducible. Denote

$$\Lambda = \{\{z_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}_+} \in \Sigma_{kM} \ : \ A(z_n, z_{n+1}) = 1 \text{ for } n \ge 0\}$$

and let $\hat{\sigma} : \Lambda \to \Lambda$ denote the associated subshift of finite type. We observe that the column sums in A are all equal to $\sum_{i=1}^{k} L_i$. Therefore, by Perron-Frobenius Theorem and Theorem 7.13 of [15], we obtain that

$$h(\hat{\sigma}) = \log \sum_{i=1}^{k} L_i. \tag{3.1}$$

Consider the map $\tilde{\pi} : \Lambda \to X_T$ defined by $\tilde{\pi}\big(\{z_n\}\big) = \{x_n\}$ with $x_0 = \bigcap_{m=0}^{\infty} I_m$ where

$$I_m = \bigcap_{n=0}^{m-1} (T_{i_n} \circ \cdots \circ T_{i_1})^{-1}\Big[\frac{l_n}{M}, \frac{l_n+1}{M}\Big]$$

and $x_{n+1} = T_{i_n}(x_n)$, in which $T_{i_n} = T_t$ if the element in the cover according to z_n is $\Big([t]_0, \Big[\frac{l_n}{M}, \frac{l_n+1}{M}\Big]\Big)$. Since $I_0 \supset I_1 \supset \cdots \supset I_m \supset \cdots$ is a nested sequence of closed sets we have by compactness that $\bigcap_{m=0}^{\infty} I_m \neq \emptyset$. Moreover, since each L_i is greater than 1, each T_i is expanding and hence $\lim_{m\longrightarrow\infty} \operatorname{diam} I_m = 0$. In particular, this intersection consists of a single point, say x_0. Therefore the map $\tilde{\pi}$ is well defined. Similar to what we have done to π in the proof of Proposition 2.5 we can show that $\tilde{\pi}$ is a semi-conjugacy, i.e., $\sigma_T \circ \tilde{\pi} = \tilde{\pi} \circ \hat{\sigma}$. Hence

$$h(\sigma_T) \leq h(\hat{\sigma}). \tag{3.2}$$

It only remains to show that $h(\sigma_T) \geq \log \sum_{i=1}^{k} L_i$. Observe that although $\tilde{\pi}$ is surjective, it can fail to be injective. We claim that the set on which injectivity fails is "small". Assume that $\tilde{\pi}\big(\{z_n\}\big) = \tilde{\pi}\big(\{z'_n\}\big) = \{x_n\}$ but $\{z_n\} \neq \{z'_n\}$. In particular, assume that $z_i = z'_i$ for $0 \leq i \leq n-1$, but $z_n \neq z'_n$. This can only happen if $x_n \in \Big\{\frac{i}{M} \ : \ 0 \leq i \leq M\Big\}$, since $L_i, 1 \leq i \leq k$ are pairwise different. In particular, we see that

$$\Omega = \big\{\{z_n\} \in \Lambda \ : \ \operatorname{card}(\tilde{\pi}^{-1}(\tilde{\pi}(\{z_n\}))) \geq 2\big\}$$

is a countable set.

Since A is irreducible then $\hat{\sigma} : \Lambda \to \Lambda$ is a transitive subshift of finite type. Hence from [12], there is a unique measure of maximal entropy, i.e., ν is the unique $\hat{\sigma}$-invariant probability measure with entropy $h(\hat{\sigma}) = \log \sum_{i=1}^{k} L_i$. Moreover, ν is the Markov measure, it is clear that $\nu(\Omega) = 0$ and so $\tilde{\pi} : \big(\Lambda, \nu\big) \to (X_T, \tilde{\pi}^*\nu)$ is an isomorphism. By the variational principle we see that

$$\begin{aligned} h(\sigma_T) &= \sup\big\{h_\mu(\sigma_T) : \mu \text{ is any } \sigma_T \text{ invariant probability measure}\big\} \\ &\geq h_{\tilde{\pi}^*\nu}(\sigma_T) = h(\hat{\sigma}). \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Combining (3.1), (3.2) and (3.3) we obtain the desired equality (1.3). □

4 $\mathbb{Z}_+^k$-actions on tori generated by expanding endomorphisms

Let $A : \mathbb{R}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be a non-singular integer matrix. There is a natural induced endomorphism of the n-dimensional torus $\mathbb{T}^n = \mathbb{R}^n/\mathbb{Z}^n$, for simplicity, we also denote it by A. It is well known that for any endomorphism A on the torus $\mathbb{T}^n$, we have

$$h(A) = \sum_{|\lambda^{(j)}|>1} \log|\lambda^{(j)}|, \tag{4.1}$$

where $\lambda^{(1)}, \cdots, \lambda^{(n)}$ are the eigenvalues of A, counted with their multiplicities.

In section 3, we use Geller and Pollicott's method to get a formula of Friedland's entropy for expanding $\mathbb{Z}_+^k$-actions on circles. However, it seems that it is not easy to use a similar strategy to deal with the high dimensional cases. In this section, we use other entropy-like invariants, the so called preimage entropies, to estimate the Friedland's entropy for the $\mathbb{Z}_+^k$-actions on tori generated by expanding endomorphisms. In the following, we first state some basic notions and facts for preimage entropies. For more information about them, please refer to [7], [9] and [10].

Let f be a continuous surjective map on a compact metric space (X, d_X). There are many types of preimage entropies and we only present two of them here. The first one is the *pointwise preimage*

entropy $h_m(f)$ which is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} h_m(f) &= \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\limsup_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n}\log\sup_{x\in X} s_{d_X}(f,n,\varepsilon,f^{-n}(x)) \\ &= \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\limsup_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n}\log\sup_{x\in X} r_{d_X}(f,n,\varepsilon,f^{-n}(x)). \end{aligned}$$

The second one is the *preimage branch entropy* which is defined as follows. For any $x \in X$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, the n-th order preimage tree of x under f is defined by

$$\mathcal{T}_n(x,f) = \{[z_n, z_{n-1}, \cdots, z_1, z_0 = x] \ : \ f(z_j) = z_{j-1} \ \text{ for all } \ 1 \le j \le n\}.$$

Each ordered set $\xi = [z_n, z_{n-1}, \cdots, z_1, z_0 = x] \in \mathcal{T}_n(x,f)$ is called a *branch* of $\mathcal{T}_n(x,f)$. For any two branches

$$\xi = [z_n, z_{n-1}, \cdots, z_1, z_0 = x] \in \mathcal{T}_n(x,f) \ \text{ and } \ \eta = [z'_n, z'_{n-1}, \cdots, z'_1, z'_0 = y] \in \mathcal{T}_n(y,f),$$

the branch distance between them is defined as

$$d_X^B(\xi,\eta) = \max_{0\le j\le n} d_x(z_j, z'_j).$$

Let $\mathcal{T}_n(X,f) = \bigcup_{x\in X} \mathcal{T}_n(x,f)$. We can define a *branch-Hausdorff metric* d_X^b on $\mathcal{T}_n(X,f)$ by

$$d_X^b(\mathcal{T}_n(x,f), \mathcal{T}_n(y,f)) = \max\{\max_{\xi\in\mathcal{T}_n(x,f)}\min_{\eta\in\mathcal{T}_n(y,f)} d_X^B(\xi,\eta), \max_{\eta\in\mathcal{T}_n(y,f)}\min_{\xi\in\mathcal{T}_n(x,f)} d_X^B(\eta,\xi)\} \tag{4.2}$$

for $\mathcal{T}_n(x,f)$ and $\mathcal{T}_n(y,f)$ in $\mathcal{T}_n(X,f)$. Intuitively, $d_X^b(\mathcal{T}_n(x,f), \mathcal{T}_n(y,f)) < \varepsilon$ if and only if each branch of either tree is d_X^B within ε of at least one branch of the other tree. Let $s_{d_X^b}(f,n,\varepsilon,\mathcal{T}_n(X,f))$ denote the maximum cardinality of any d_X^b-ε-separated collection of trees in $\mathcal{T}_n(X,f)$, and $r_{d_X^b}(f,n,\varepsilon,\mathcal{T}_n(X,f))$ denote the minimum cardinality of any d_X^b-ε-spanning collection of trees in $\mathcal{T}_n(X,f)$. Then the preimage branch entropy $h_i(f)$ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} h_i(f) &= \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\limsup_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n}\log s_{d_X^b}(f,n,\varepsilon,\mathcal{T}_n(X,f)) \\ &= \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\limsup_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n}\log r_{d_X^b}(f,n,\varepsilon,\mathcal{T}_n(X,f)). \end{aligned}$$

Similar to that for the entropy $h(f)$, it is easy to see that $h_m(f)$ and $h_i(f)$ are unchanged by taking an equivalent metric on X. From Theorem 3.1 in [7], we have the following inequalities relating these entropies

$$h_m(f) \le h(f) \le h_m(f) + h_i(f). \tag{4.3}$$

For some recent progress in the study of preimage entropies in different forms and in different settings, we refer to [2], [17], [16], [18] and [19].

Theorem 4.1. *Let T be a $\mathbb{Z}_+^k$-action on the torus $\mathbb{T}^n$ with the generators $\{T_i = A_i\}_{i=1}^k$ which are pairwise different. If $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^k$ are all non-singular and all eigenvalues of A_i are of modulus greater than* 1*, then the inequality (1.4) holds, i.e.,*

$$h(T) \le \log\Big(\sum_{i=1}^{k}\prod_{j=1}^{n}|\lambda_i^{(j)}|\Big),$$

where $\lambda_i^{(1)}, \cdots, \lambda_i^{(n)}$ are the eigenvalues of A_i, counted with their multiplicities.

Proof. Firstly, we show that

$$h_i(\sigma_T) = 0. \tag{4.4}$$

Since $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^k$ are all non-singular, for any $x \in \mathbb{T}^n$ and $1 \le i \le k$,

$$\mathrm{card}(T_i^{-1}(x)) = |\det A_i| = \prod_{j=1}^{n} |\lambda_i^{(j)}|.$$

For simplicity of notation, we denote $\prod_{j=1}^{n} |\lambda_i^{(j)}|$ by N_i and for $x \in \mathbb{T}^n$ denote

$$T_i^{-1}(x) = \{x^{(1)}, x^{(2)}, \cdots, x^{(N_i)}\}.$$

Since for each $1 \le i \le k$ all eigenvalues of A_i, are of modulus greater than 1, each T_i is expanding. Hence, we can take $0 < \varepsilon_0 < \frac{1}{4}$ and $\lambda > 1$, such that for any $0 < \varepsilon \le \varepsilon_0, x \in \mathbb{T}^n$ and $1 \le i \le k$,

$$T_i^{-1}(B_{d_X}(x, \varepsilon)) = \bigcup_{j=1}^{N_i} U(x^{(j)}),$$

where $U(x^{(j)})$ is an open neighborhood of $x^{(j)}$ for $1 \le j \le N_i$, and $\{U(x^{(j)})\}_{j=1}^{N_i}$ are pairwise disjoint, moreover, the restriction $T_i|_{U(x^{(j)})} : U(x^{(j)}) \to B_{d_X}(x, \varepsilon)$ is a homeomorphism and for any $z, z' \in U(x^{(j)})$,

$$d_X(T_i(z), T_i(z')) \ge \lambda \cdot d_X(z, z').$$

So for any $y \in B_{d_X}(x, \varepsilon)$, the corresponding 1-th order preimage tree $\mathcal{T}_1(y, T_i)$ lies in the d_X^b-ε-neighborhood of the 1-th order preimage tree $\mathcal{T}_1(x, T_i)$ and actually

$$d_X^b(\mathcal{T}_1(x, T_i), \mathcal{T}_1(y, T_i)) = d_X(x, y)$$

since $\lambda > 1$. If for any finite sequence of endomorphisms $\{T_{n_i} \in \{T_i, \cdots, T_k\}\}_{i=1}^{l}$ and any $x \in \mathbb{T}^n$, let

$$\mathcal{T}_l\big(x, \{T_{n_i}\}_{i=1}^{l}\big) = \big\{[z_l, z_{l-1}, \cdots, z_1, z_0 = x] \ : \ T_{n_j}(z_j) = z_{j-1} \ \text{ for all } \ 1 \le j \le l\big\}$$

be the l-th order preimage tree of x with respect to $\{T_{n_i}\}_{i=1}^{l}$. Then for any $0 < \varepsilon \le \varepsilon_0$ and $y \in B_{d_X}(x, \varepsilon)$, we can inductively conclude that the l-th order preimage tree $\mathcal{T}_l\big(y, \{T_{n_i}\}_{i=1}^{l}\big)$ lies in the d_X^b-ε-neighborhood $\mathcal{T}_l\big(x, \{T_{n_i}\}_{i=1}^{l}\big)$, and actually

$$d_X^b\big(\mathcal{T}_l(x, \{T_{n_i}\}_{i=1}^{l}), \mathcal{T}_l(y, \{T_{n_i}\}_{i=1}^{l})\big) = d_X(x, y),$$

where d_X^b is analogues to that in (4.2).

From the above discussion and the definition of $d_{X_T}^b$ on the collection of l-th order preimage trees under σ_T, $\mathcal{T}_l(X_T, \sigma_T)$, we can see that for any $\bar{x}, \bar{y} \in X_T$ with $d(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) < \varepsilon \le \varepsilon_0$, we have

$$d_{X_T}^b\big(\mathcal{T}_l(\bar{x}, \sigma_T), \mathcal{T}_l(\bar{y}, \sigma_T)\big) = d_{X_T}(\bar{x}, \bar{y}).$$

So, if a finite set $\{\bar{x}^{(i)}\}$ is d_{X_T}-ε_0-dense in the compact space X_T, then for any $l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $\big\{\mathcal{T}_l(\bar{x}^{(i)}, \sigma_T)\big\}$ is $d_{X_T}^b$-ε_0-dense in $\mathcal{T}_l(X_T, \sigma_T)$. Therefore, $s_{d_{X_T}^b}(\sigma_T, l, \varepsilon_0, \mathcal{T}_l(X_T, \sigma_T))$ is independent of l and hence (4.4) holds.

By (4.4) and the inequalities in (4.3), we have that

$$h(T) = h(\sigma_T) = h_m(\sigma_T).$$

Since for any $x \in \mathbb{T}^n$ and any $1 \leq i \leq k$, $\mathrm{card}(T_i^{-1}(x)) = N_i$ and $\mathrm{card}\big(\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} T_i^{-1}(x)\big) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{k} N_i$, and hence for any $l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $\bar{x} = \{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}^+} \in X_T$, $\mathrm{card}(\sigma_T^{-l}(\bar{x})) \leq \Big(\sum_{i=1}^{k} N_i\Big)^l$. Therefore,

$$h_m(\sigma_T) \leq \log \sum_{i=1}^{k} N_i, \tag{4.5}$$

and then the desired inequality (1.4) holds. □

By the way, we would like to say that our original intention is to show that the equality in (1.4) holds for expanding $\mathbb{Z}_+^k$-actions on tori. So far we can conclude as follows that for almost every $\bar{x} = \{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}^+} \in X_T$, $\mathrm{card}(\sigma_T^{-l}(\bar{x})) = \Big(\sum_{i=1}^{k} N_i\Big)^l$ for any $l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Let

$$E = \{x \in \mathbb{T}^n : T_i x = T_j x \text{ for some } 1 \leq i, j \leq k, i \neq j\}$$

and

$$F = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{1\leq i_1,\cdots,i_n\leq k} T_{i_1} \circ \cdots \circ T_{i_n}(E).$$

Since $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^k$ are all non-singular and pairwise different, then the Haar measure of E is zero, and hence the Haar measure of F is also zero. So for $x \in \mathbb{T}^n \setminus F$, the cardinality of the set $\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} T_i^{-1}(x)$ is exactly $\sum_{i=1}^{k} N_i$. Therefore, for any $l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $\bar{x} = \{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}^+} \in X_T$ with $x_0 \in \mathbb{T}^n \setminus F$, the cardinality of the l-th preimage set $\sigma_T^{-l}(\bar{x})$ is exactly $\Big(\sum_{i=1}^{k} N_i\Big)^l$. We believe that for any $\bar{x} = \{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}^+} \in X_T$ with $x_0 \in \mathbb{T}^n \setminus F$ (even for any $\bar{x} = \{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}^+} \in X_T$),

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\longrightarrow 0} \limsup_{l\to\infty} \frac{1}{l} \log s_{d_{X_T}}(\sigma_T, l, \varepsilon, \sigma_T^{-l}(\bar{x})) = \log\Big(\sum_{i=1}^{k} N_i\Big),$$

and hence the equality in (1.4) holds.

Remark 4.2. *In [20], the upper and lower bounds of the entropy of the nonautonomous dynamical systems on tori which are generated by expanding endomorphisms (Theorem 2.8 of [20]) were given. More precisely, let $A_{1,\infty} = \{A_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of equi-continuous surjective endomorphisms of $\mathbb{T}^n$. If for each $i \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, all eigenvalues of A_i are of modulus greater than 1, then*

$$\limsup_{l\to\infty} \frac{1}{l} \sum_{i=1}^{l-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \log|\lambda_i^{(j)}| \leq h(A_{1,\infty}) \leq \limsup_{n\to\infty} \frac{n}{l} \sum_{i=1}^{l-1} \log \Lambda_i^{(1)}, \tag{4.6}$$

where $\lambda_i^{(1)}, \cdots, \lambda_i^{(n)}$ are the eigenvalues of $A_i, i \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, counted with their multiplicities, and $\Lambda_i^{(1)}$ is the biggest eigenvalue of $\sqrt{A_i A_i^T}, i \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. From Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 5.1 in [17], we have that $h(A_{1,\infty}) = h_m(A_{1,\infty})$. Using the similar method in the proof of the above Theorem 4.1, we can improve (4.6) to the following equality

$$h(A_{1,\infty}) = h_m(A_{1,\infty}) = \limsup_{l\to\infty} \frac{1}{l} \sum_{i=1}^{l-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \log|\lambda_i^{(j)}|.$$

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the referee for the detailed review and very good suggestions, which led to improvements of the paper.

References

[1] R.Bowen, *Entropy for group endomorphisms and homogenuous spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 153(1971), 401-414.

[2] W.-C.Cheng and S.Newhouse, *Pre-image entropy*, Ergod. Th. and Dynam. Sys., 25 (2005), 1091-1113.

[3] M.Einsiedler and D.Lind, *Algebraic $\mathbb{Z}^d$-actions on entropy rank one*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 356(5)(2004), 1799-1831.

[4] S.Friedland, *Entropy of graphs, semi-groups and groups*, in: Ergodic Theory of $\mathbb{Z}^d$-actions, M.Pollicott and K.Schmidt (eds.), London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. 228, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, (1996), 319-343.

[5] W.Geller and M.Pollicott, *An entropy for $\mathbb{Z}^2$ -actions with finite entropy generators*, Fund. Math., 157(1998), 209-220.

[6] W.Huang, X.Ye and G.Zhang, Local entropy theory for a countable discrete amenable group action, J. Funct. Anal., 261(2011), 1028-1082.

[7] M.Hurley, *On topological entropy of maps*, Ergod. Th. and Dynam. Sys., 15(1995), 557-568.

[8] A.Katok and B.Hasselblatt, Introduction to the modern theory of dynamical systems. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.

[9] Z.Nitecki and F.Przytycki, *Preimage entropy for mappings*, Int. J. Bifur. and Chaos, 9 (1999), 1815-1843.

[10] Z.Nitecki, *Topological entropy and the preimage structure of maps*, Real Analysis Exchange, 29(2003/2004), 7-39.

[11] D.Ornstein and B.Weiss, Entropy and isomorphism theorems for actions of amenable groups, J. Anal. Math., 48(1987), 1-141.

[12] W.Parry, Intrinsic Markov Chains. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.,* 112(1964), 55-66.

[13] D.Ruelle, *Statistical mechanics on a compact set with $\mathbb{Z}^\nu$-action satisfying expansiveness and specification*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 185(1973), 237-251.

[14] K.Schmidt, Dynamical systems of algebraic origin, New York, Berlin: Birkhauser-Verlag, 1995.

[15] P.Walters, An introduction to ergodic theory, Springer, New York, 1982.

[16] F.Zeng, K.Yan and G.Zhang *Pre-image pressure and invariant measures*, Ergod. Th. and Dynam. Sys., 27(2007), 1037-1052.

[17] J.Zhang, Y.Zhu and L.He, *Preimage entropy for nonautonomous dynamical systems*, Acta Math. Sinica, Chinese Series, 48(2005), 693-702.

[18] Y.Zhu, *Preimage entropy for random dynamical systems*, Discrete and Contin. Dynam. Sys., 18(2007), 829-851.

[19] Y.Zhu, Z.Li and X.Li, *Preimage pressure for random transformations*, Ergod. Th. and Dynam. Sys., 29(2009), 1669-1687.

[20] Y.Zhu, Z.Liu, X.Xu and W.Zhang, *Entropy of Nonautonomous Dynamical Systems*, J. Korean Math. Soc., 49(2012), 165-185.